Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/505,748	SINGH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kandasamy Thangavelu	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <i>November</i> 9, 2004.			•
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,6 and 13-17</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	r.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally All b) Some* c) None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this i	national stage applica	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			IOTICE OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date <u>December</u> (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the statement sheet in the same process.	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- <u>nber 18, 20</u> . s Amendment / Comment or in the C .84(c)) should be written on the drawir	Office action of	e back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date	5. Notice of Informal P 6. Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. Examiner's Amendn 8. Examiner's Stateme 9. Other	(PTO-413), te ment/Comment ent of Reasons for Allo	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)	otice of Allowability	Part of Pap	er No./Mail Date 18

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

1. This communication is in response to the Applicants' Appeal Brief filed on November 9, 2004. Claims 1-3, 6 and 13-17 of the application are pending.

Drawings

2. The drawings filed on February 16, 2000 are acceptable subject to correction of the informalities indicated on the "Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review," PTO-948, sent on December 18, 2002. In order to avoid abandonment of this application, correction is required in reply to the Office action. The correction will not be held in abeyance.

Examiner's Amendment

3. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Scott Reid on December 20, 2004.

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

4. In the Claims:

In Claim 1, Lines 4-6, "means for developing an accurate customizable behavioral model that offer sufficient parameters which can be programmed to represent Framers from different vendors;"

has been changed to

-- means for developing an accurate customizable behavioral model that offer sufficient parameters which can be programmed to represent Framers from different vendors, the ATM/SONET framer comprising--.

In Claim 6, Line 12, "Built-in performance checking"

has been changed to

-- Built-in performance checking.--.

In Claim 13, Line 1, "The computer base system of claim 1"

has been changed to

-- The system of claim 1 --.

In Claim 14, Line 1, "A computer base method for system level verification and

performance characterization comprising"

has been changed to

Art Unit: 2123

-- A computer based method for system level verification and performance characterization of an ATM/SONET framer comprising --.

In Claim 14, Line 3, "providing a customized behavioral model of an ATM/SONET

Framer"

has been changed to

-- providing a customizable behavioral model of an ATM/SONET Framer --.

In Claim 17, Line 1, "The computer base system of claim 1"

has been changed to

-- The system of claim 1 --.

Reasons for Allowance

- 5. Claims 1-3, 6 and 13-17 of the application are allowed over prior art of record.
- 6. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The closest prior art of record shows:

(1) a system for specifying, synthesizing, analyzing, simulating and generating circuit designs for frame protocols; a GUI allows the user to generate a HDL file for the protocol, in accordance with the parameters entered; the software converts the user input to the format of the

Art Unit: 2123

frame definition database; then the software translates the protocol into an intermediate virtual circuit; after the HDL is generated, the user can simulate the operation of the HDL with the protocol; the simulation may use any of the commercially available simulators using an interface provided to interface with the GUI; the user can perform various analysis functions on the virtual circuit using the GUI (Seawright et al., U.S. Patent 5,920,711);

Page 5

- (2) simulation techniques used in ATM ASIC developments for 155Mbps ATM SAR chip with SDH framer, for a 622 Mbps bi-directional ATM layer processing chip and a 622 Mbps ATM physical layer chip; the segmentation block segments the packets in the PCI memory into ATM cells; the reassembly reads the ATM cells from the cell buffer and reassembles them into PCI memory; FIFO is used with cell buffer for speed adaptation; UTOPIA interfaces with physical layer; STM framer performs 155 Mbps physical layer processing; PCI's master and slave functions are verified with other ATM functions using simulation; the test bench used Verilog and included the ASIC chip, the ATM layer processes and TX_ATM and RX_ATM for simulating upper ATM processing, sending and receiving ATM cells through UTOPIA interface (Kim et al., "Design and simulation of three ATM ASICs", IEEE 1999);
- (3) a framer that provides a versatile solution for transporting ATM cells over the SDH/SONET network at 6223.08 or 155.52 megabits/sec. In the framer's transmit path, the ATM cells from the SAR unit are transferred to the transmit FIFO according to the 16 bit UTOPIA interface specification; the SDH framer maps the ATM cells into the payload of the STM-4c/STM-12c frames; the SDH or SONET frames are formed in compliance with ITU-T or ATM forum specification; in the receive path, the SDH/SONET frames are passed to the SDH deframer; the SDH deframer descrambles the received frame and extracts and delivers the ATM

Art Unit: 2123

cells to the ATM receive FIFO buffer (Koziotis et al., "0.6/spl mu/m CMOS, 666/155 Mbits/s ATM-SDH/SONET framer IC", IEEE October 1999); and

- (4) an interface for interconnecting Physical layer devices to link layer devices with a pocket over SONET implementation; the interfaces between the physical layer devices and link layer devices are specified in the ATM forum UTOPIA level 1, UTOPIA level 2 and UTOPIA level 3 specifications; these specifications are used for ATM cell transfer in either direction between one or more physical devices; UTOPIA level 2 supports multiple physical devices; UTOPIA level 3 supports point to point transfer at a maximum throughput of 3.2 Gbps (Karr et al., U.S. Patent 6,668,297).
- 6.1 Applicants' first set of claims consists of Claims 1, 2, 13, 3 and 6.

Independent Claim 1 is directed to a computer based system employing a customizable simulation model of an ATM/SONET framer, for system level verification and performance characterization. The claim identifies the uniquely distinct features of:

"means for developing an accurate customizable behavioral model that offer sufficient parameters which can be programmed to represent Framers from different vendors, the ATM/SONET framer comprising:

- a Receiver system;
- a Transmitter system

wherein said Receiver system and said Transmitter system are independently configurable and each includes a UTOPIA interface programmable to provide different protocols;

Art Unit: 2123

a first group of buffers operatively coupled to the UTOPIA interface;

SONET Framer Processes including a format translator; and

a second group of buffers operatively coupled to the SONET Framer Processes".

Because the closest prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest means for developing an accurate customizable behavioral model that offer sufficient parameters which can be programmed to represent framers from different vendors comprising the above combination of the limitations, as shown in Fig. 1 and Page 4, Line 23 through Page 5, Line 16 and Page 6, Line 15 through Page 14, Line 15 of the specification as claimed by the Applicants, Claims 1, 2, 13, 3 and 6 are deemed novel and allowable.

6.2 Applicants' second set of claims consists of Claims 14-17.

Independent Claim 14 is directed to a computer based method for system level verification and performance characterization of an ATM/SONET framer. The claim identifies the uniquely distinct features of:

"providing a customizable behavioral model of an ATM/SONET Framer which includes independently configurable Receiver system and Transmitter system" and "providing software for coacting with said behavioral model, said software including sufficient programmable parameters for representing Framers from different vendors".

Because the closest prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest developing providing a customizable behavioral model of an ATM/SONET Framer which includes independently

Art Unit: 2123

configurable Receiver system and Transmitter system and providing software for coacting with said behavioral model, said software including sufficient programmable parameters for representing Framers from different vendors, as claimed by the Applicants, Claims 14-17 are deemed novel and allowable.

- 7. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kandasamy Thangavelu whose telephone number is 571-272-3717. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska, can be reached on 571-272-3716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

K. Thangavelu Art Unit 2123 December 20, 2004